APPRAISAL OF REAL PROPERTY

LOCATED AT:

1283 W Oakland St Central Estates Unit 2, Lot 59 Chandler, AZ 85224-4343

FOR:

Mi Casa Mortgage 315 S 48th St Suite 110, Tempe, AZ 85281

AS OF:

02/23/2007

BY: Michael Woodhead

FROM:					
Anozira Appraisa	als, Inc			INVOICE NUM	IBER
28681 N 68th D				2788	
Peoria, AZ 8538	3			DATE	
Telephone Number:		Fax Number:		02/27/20	07
				REFEREN	CE
то:				Internal Order #:	
				Lender Case #:	
Mi Casa Mortga	је			Client File #:	
				Main File # on form: Sauer	
				Other File <i>#</i> on form:	
Telephone Number:		Fax Number:		Federal Tax ID:	
Alternate Number:		E-Mail:		Employer ID:	
DECODIDITION					
DESCRIPTION					
Lende Purchaser/Borrowe	r: Mi Casa Mortgage		Client: Mi Cas	a Mortgage	
	s: 1283 W Oakland St				
City	y: Chandler				
	y: Maricopa n: Central Estates Unit	2 L at 59	State: AZ	Zip: 8522	4-4343
Legal Description		12, 20139			
FEES					AMOUNT
2055 Exterior					250.00
				SUBTOTAL	250.00
PAYMENTS					AMOUNT
	2	B 1			
Check #: Check #:	Date: Date:	Description: Description:			
Check #:	Date:	Description:			
Invoices due	within 30 days			SUBTOTAL	
We Appreciate Yo	our Business!			TOTAL DUE	\$ 250.00

Anozira Appraisals, Inc. (623) 581-0222

File No. Sauer Page #3

Exterior-Only	y Inspectio	n Residential <i>I</i>	Appraisal Report	File#Sa
---------------	-------------	------------------------	------------------	---------

	cterior-Only Inspection	nesidential Appla	isai nepuit File	# Sauer
The purpose of this summary appraisal repo	rt is to provide the lender/client with an		ported, opinion of the ma	rket value of the subject property.
Property Address 1283 W Oakland St	0	City Chandler		AZ Zip Code 85224-4343
Borrower Sauer Legal Description Central Estates Unit 2	Owner of Public Re	cord Sauer	Cour	nty Maricopa
Assessor's Parcel # 302-48-168	1, LUI 09	Tax Year 2006	R.F.	Taxes \$ 918.20
Neighborhood Name Central Estates Un	it 2	Map Reference 167 M		Sus Tract 5230.06
🛛 Occupant 🖂 Owner 🔲 Tenant 🗌 Vaca		ts\$N/A	🗌 PUD HOA \$ N/	A 🗌 per year 🗌 per month
Property Rights Appraised X Fee Simple	Leasehold Other (describe)			
Assignment Type Purchase Transaction		r (describe)		
Lender/Client Mi Casa Mortgage Is the subject property currently offered for sale	Address 315 e or has it been offered for sale in the twe	S 48th St Suite 110, Tem	pe, AZ 85281 date of this appraisal?	Ves 🕅 No
Report data source(s) used, offering price(s), a		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	r sale for the subject purchase transactior	. Explain the results of the analy	sis of the contract for sale	or why the analysis was not
performed.				
Contract Price \$ Refinance Date of Con	tract NI/A le the property se	ler the owner of public record?	Yes No Data S	
Is there any financial assistance (loan charges,				
B If Yes, report the total dollar amount and descri			ny party on bonan of the b	
Note: Race and the racial composition of the				
Neighborhood Characteristics		nit Housing Trends	One-Unit H	
	Rural Property Values Increas		clining PRICE	AGE One-Unit 80 %
	Under 25% Demand/Supply Shorta Slow Marketing Time X Under		er Supply \$ (000) er 6 mths 100 Low	(yrs) 2-4 Unit 5 % 10 Multi-Family 5 %
	rhood is bordered by Ray Rd (N		er 6 mths 100 Low 350 High	
Chandler Blvd (S) Dobson Rd (W			230 Pred.	
	rhood is improved with average qu	uality homes and all reside		•
	U - 1			
-				
Market Conditions (including support for the ab				cal financing involving sellers
paying not more than 2 discount point			increasing based on	the comparable sales
analyzed. The subject's marketing tir Dimensions Rectangular	Area 6,177+/-		ctangular	View Residential
Specific Zoning Classification R3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	n Single Family Residenti		
· · · ·	conforming (Grandfathered Use) 🗌 No Z			
Is the highest and best use of subject property			se? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No	If No, describe
Utilities Public Other (describe)			f-site Improvements - Typ	
Electricity 🛛 🗌	Water		reet Asphalt ey None	
	No FEMA Flood Zone X500	FEMA Map # 04013C2		FEMA Map Date 9/30/2005
Are the utilities and off-site improvements typic		No If No, describe		<u> </u>
Are there any adverse site conditions or externation	al factors (easements, encroachments, en	vironmental conditions, land use	es, etc.)? 🗌 Yes	🔀 No 🛛 If Yes, describe
Source(s) Used for Physical Characteristics of	Property 🗌 Appraisal Files 🛛 ML	S 🖂 Assessment and Tax Re	ecords 🗌 Prior Inspecti	ion 🖂 Property Owner
Other (describe)		Data Source for Gross Livir		
General Description	General Description	Heating/Cooling		3/MLS
	-		Amenities	S/MLS Car Storage
, ,	🔀 Concrete Slab 🔲 Crawl Space	🖂 FWA 🗌 HWBB	🖂 Fireplace(s) # 1	Car Storage
# of Stories 1	Concrete Slab Crawl Space	Kadiant	Fireplace(s) # 1 Woodstove(s) #	Car Storage None Driveway # of Cars
# of Stories 1 Type 🔀 Det. 🗌 Att. 🔄 S-Det./End Unit	Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Partial Basement Finished	FWA HWBB Radiant Other	 ➢ Fireplace(s) # 1 ☑ Woodstove(s) # ☑ Patio/Deck C∨rd 	Car Storage None Driveway # of Cars Driveway Surface Concrete
# of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const.	Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Block/Siding	FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Electric	➢ Fireplace(s) # 1 ☑ Woodstove(s) # ☑ Patio/Deck Cvrd ☑ Porch Covered	Car Storage None Driveway # of Cars Driveway Surface Concrete Garage # of Cars
# of Stories 1 Type 🔀 Det. 🗌 Att. 🛄 S-Det./End Unit 🖾 Existing 🗋 Proposed 🗌 Under Const. Design (Style) Ranch	Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Block/Siding Roof Surface Comp Shingle	FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Electric X Central Air Conditioning	➢ Fireplace(s) # 1 ☑ Woodstove(s) # ☑ Patio/Deck Cvrd ☑ Porch Covered ☑ Pool Yes	Car Storage □ None □ Driveway # of Cars 1 Driveway Surface Concrete □ Garage # of Cars 1 □ Carport # of Cars 1
# of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1973	Concrete Slab Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Block/Siding Roof Surface Comp Shingle Gutters & DownspoutsAverage	FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Electric	➢ Fireplace(s) # 1 ☑ Woodstove(s) # ☑ Patio/Deck Cvrd ☑ Porch Covered	Car Storage None Driveway # of Cars Driveway Surface Concrete Garage # of Cars Carport # of Cars Attached Detached
# of Stories 1 Type ∑ Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit ∑ Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1973	Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Partial Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Block/Siding Roof Surface Comp Shingle Gutters & DownspoutsAverage Window Type Single Alum	FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Electric Central Air Conditioning Individual	➢ Fireplace(s) # 1 ☑ Woodstove(s) # ☑ Patio/Deck Cvrd ☑ Porch Covered ☑ Pool Yes ☑ Fence	Car Storage □ None □ Driveway # of Cars 1 Driveway Surface Concrete □ Garage # of Cars 1 □ Carport # of Cars 1
# of Stories 1 Type ∑ Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit ∑ Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1973 Effective Age (Yrs) 17 Yrs	Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Partial Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Block/Siding Roof Surface Comp Shingle Gutters & DownspoutsAverage Window Type Single Alum	FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Electric Central Air Conditioning Individual Other	➢ Fireplace(s) # 1 Woodstove(s) # ➢ Patio/Deck Cvrd ➢ Porch Covered ➢ Pool Yes ➢ Fence Other Other (describe)	Car Storage None Driveway # of Cars Driveway Surface Concrete Garage # of Cars Carport # of Cars Attached Detached
# of Stories 1 Type	Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Block/Siding Roof Surface Comp Shingle Gutters & DownspoutsAverage Window Type Single Alum Dishwasher Disposal Mi 6 Rooms 3 Bedrooms	FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Electric Central Air Conditioning Individual Other Other	➢ Fireplace(s) # 1 Woodstove(s) # ➢ Patio/Deck Cvrd ➢ Porch Covered ➢ Pool Yes ➢ Fence Other Other (describe)	Car Storage None Driveway # of Cars 1 Driveway Surface Concrete Garage # of Cars Carport # of Cars 1 Attached Detached Built-in
# of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1973 Effective Age (Yrs) 17 Yrs Appliances Refrigerator Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient iter	Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Partial Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Block/Siding Roof Surface Comp Shingle Gutters & DownspoutsAverage Window Type Single Alum Dishwasher Disposal Mi 6 Rooms 3 Bedrooms ns, etc.) Average features	FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Electric Central Air Conditioning Individual Other crowave Washer/Dryer 2 Bath(s)	 ➢ Fireplace(s) # 1 ➢ Woodstove(s) # ➢ Patio/Deck Cvrd ➢ Porch Covered ➢ Pool Yes ➢ Fence ○ Other Other (describe) 1,198 Square Feet 	Car Storage None Driveway # of Cars Driveway Surface Concrete Garage # of Cars Carport # of Cars Attached Detached Built-in of Gross Living Area Above Grade
# of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1973 Effective Age (Yrs) 17 Yrs Appliances Refrigerator Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient iter Describe the condition of the property and data	Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Block/Siding Roof Surface Comp Shingle Gutters & DownspoutsAverage Window Type Single Alum Dishwasher Disposal Mi 6 Rooms 3 Bedrooms ns, etc.) Average features	FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Electric Central Air Conditioning Individual Other crowave Washer/Dryer 2 Bath(s)	 ➢ Fireplace(s) # 1 ➢ Woodstove(s) # ➢ Patio/Deck Cvrd ➢ Porch Covered ➢ Pool Yes ➢ Fence ○ Other Other (describe) 1,198 Square Feet 	Car Storage None Driveway # of Cars Driveway Surface Concrete Garage # of Cars Carport # of Cars Attached Detached Built-in of Gross Living Area Above Grade
# of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1973 Effective Age (Yrs) 17 Yrs Appliances Refrigerator Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient iter	Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Block/Siding Roof Surface Comp Shingle Gutters & DownspoutsAverage Window Type Single Alum Dishwasher Disposal Mi 6 Rooms 3 Bedrooms ns, etc.) Average features	FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Electric Central Air Conditioning Individual Other crowave Washer/Dryer 2 Bath(s)	 ➢ Fireplace(s) # 1 ➢ Woodstove(s) # ➢ Patio/Deck Cvrd ➢ Porch Covered ➢ Pool Yes ➢ Fence ○ Other Other (describe) 1,198 Square Feet 	Car Storage None Driveway # of Cars Driveway Surface Concrete Garage # of Cars Carport # of Cars Attached Detached Built-in of Gross Living Area Above Grade
# of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1973 Effective Age (Yrs) 17 Yrs Appliances Refrigerator Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient iter Describe the condition of the property and data	Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Block/Siding Roof Surface Comp Shingle Gutters & DownspoutsAverage Window Type Single Alum Dishwasher Disposal Mi 6 Rooms 3 Bedrooms ns, etc.) Average features	FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Electric Central Air Conditioning Individual Other crowave Washer/Dryer 2 Bath(s)	 ➢ Fireplace(s) # 1 ➢ Woodstove(s) # ➢ Patio/Deck Cvrd ➢ Porch Covered ➢ Pool Yes ➢ Fence ○ Other Other (describe) 1,198 Square Feet 	Car Storage None Driveway # of Cars Driveway Surface Concrete Garage # of Cars Carport # of Cars Attached Detached Built-in
# of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1973 Effective Age (Yrs) 17 Yrs Appliances Refrigerator Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient iter Describe the condition of the property and data	Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Block/Siding Roof Surface Comp Shingle Gutters & DownspoutsAverage Window Type Single Alum Dishwasher Disposal Mi 6 Rooms 3 Bedrooms ns, etc.) Average features	FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Electric Central Air Conditioning Individual Other crowave Washer/Dryer 2 Bath(s)	 ➢ Fireplace(s) # 1 ➢ Woodstove(s) # ➢ Patio/Deck Cvrd ➢ Porch Covered ➢ Pool Yes ➢ Fence ○ Other Other (describe) 1,198 Square Feet 	Car Storage None Driveway # of Cars Driveway Surface Concrete Garage # of Cars Carport # of Cars Attached Detached Built-in
# of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1973 Effective Age (Yrs) 17 Yrs Appliances Refrigerator Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient iter Describe the condition of the property and data	Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Block/Siding Roof Surface Comp Shingle Gutters & DownspoutsAverage Window Type Single Alum Dishwasher Disposal Mi 6 Rooms 3 Bedrooms ns, etc.) Average features	FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Electric Central Air Conditioning Individual Other crowave Washer/Dryer 2 Bath(s)	 ➢ Fireplace(s) # 1 ➢ Woodstove(s) # ➢ Patio/Deck Cvrd ➢ Porch Covered ➢ Pool Yes ➢ Fence ○ Other Other (describe) 1,198 Square Feet 	Car Storage None Driveway # of Cars Driveway Surface Concrete Garage # of Cars Carport # of Cars Attached Detached Built-in
# of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1973 Effective Age (Yrs) 17 Yrs Appliances Refrigerator Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient iter Describe the condition of the property and data	Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Block/Siding Roof Surface Comp Shingle Gutters & DownspoutsAverage Window Type Single Alum Dishwasher Disposal Mi 6 Rooms 3 Bedrooms ns, etc.) Average features a source(s) (including apparent needed represent)	FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Electric Central Air Conditioning Individual Other crowave Washer/Dryer 2 Bath(s) pairs, deterioration, renovations,	 ➢ Fireplace(s) # 1 ➢ Woodstove(s) # ➢ Patio/Deck Cvrd ➢ Porch Covered ➢ Pool Yes ➢ Fence ○ Other ○ Other ○ Other (describe) 1,198 Square Feet 	Car Storage None Driveway # of Cars Driveway Surface Concrete Garage # of Cars Carport # of Cars Attached Detached Built-in
# of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit ☑ Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1973 Effective Age (Yrs) 17 Yrs Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient iter Describe the condition of the property and data and no known adverse conditions were	Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Block/Siding Roof Surface Comp Shingle Gutters & DownspoutsAverage Window Type Single Alum Dishwasher Disposal Mi 6 Rooms 3 Bedrooms ns, etc.) Average features a source(s) (including apparent needed represent)	FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Electric Central Air Conditioning Individual Other crowave Washer/Dryer 2 Bath(s) pairs, deterioration, renovations,	 ➢ Fireplace(s) # 1 ➢ Woodstove(s) # ➢ Patio/Deck Cvrd ➢ Porch Covered ➢ Pool Yes ➢ Fence ○ Other ○ Other ○ Other (describe) 1,198 Square Feet 	Car Storage None Driveway # of Cars 1 Driveway Surface Concrete Garage # of Cars Carport # of Cars 1 Attached Detached Built-in
# of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Image: Strain	Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Block/Siding Roof Surface Comp Shingle Gutters & DownspoutsAverage Window Type Single Alum Dishwasher Disposal Mi 6 Rooms 3 Bedrooms ns, etc.) Average features a source(s) (including apparent needed represent)	FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Electric Central Air Conditioning Individual Other crowave Washer/Dryer 2 Bath(s) pairs, deterioration, renovations,	 ➢ Fireplace(s) # 1 ➢ Woodstove(s) # ➢ Patio/Deck Cvrd ➢ Porch Covered ➢ Pool Yes ➢ Fence ○ Other ○ Other ○ Other (describe) 1,198 Square Feet 	Car Storage None Driveway # of Cars 1 Driveway Surface Concrete Garage # of Cars Carport # of Cars 1 Attached Detached Built-in
# of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Image: Strain	Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Block/Siding Roof Surface Comp Shingle Gutters & DownspoutsAverage Window Type Single Alum Dishwasher Disposal Mi 6 Rooms 3 Bedrooms ns, etc.) Average features a source(s) (including apparent needed represent)	FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Electric Central Air Conditioning Individual Other crowave Washer/Dryer 2 Bath(s) pairs, deterioration, renovations,	 ➢ Fireplace(s) # 1 ➢ Woodstove(s) # ➢ Patio/Deck Cvrd ➢ Porch Covered ➢ Pool Yes ➢ Fence ○ Other ○ Other ○ Other (describe) 1,198 Square Feet 	Car Storage None Driveway # of Cars 1 Driveway Surface Concrete Garage # of Cars Carport # of Cars 1 Attached Detached Built-in
# of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Image: Statisting Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1973 Effective Age (Yrs) 17 Yrs Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient iter Describe the condition of the property and data and no known adverse conditions were Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or If Yes, describe.	Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Block/Siding Roof Surface Comp Shingle Gutters & DownspoutsAverage Window Type Single Alum Dishwasher Disposal Mi 6 Rooms 3 Bedrooms ns, etc.) Average features a source(s) (including apparent needed re re noted. r adverse conditions that affect the livability	FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Electric Central Air Conditioning Individual Other crowave Washer/Dryer 2 Bath(s) pairs, deterioration, renovations, ty, soundness, or structural integration	 ➢ Fireplace(s) # 1 ➢ Woodstove(s) # ➢ Patio/Deck Cvrd ➢ Porch Covered ➢ Pool Yes ➢ Fence ○ Other ○ Other (describe) 1,198 Square Feet 	Car Storage None Driveway # of Cars Driveway Surface Concrete Garage # of Cars Carport # of Cars Attached Detached Built-in Detached of Gross Living Area Above Grade oject is in average condition
# of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Image: Strain	Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Block/Siding Roof Surface Comp Shingle Gutters & DownspoutsAverage Window Type Single Alum Dishwasher Disposal Mi 6 Rooms 3 Bedrooms ns, etc.) Average features a source(s) (including apparent needed re re noted. r adverse conditions that affect the livability	FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Electric Central Air Conditioning Individual Other crowave Washer/Dryer 2 Bath(s) pairs, deterioration, renovations, ty, soundness, or structural integration	 ➢ Fireplace(s) # 1 ➢ Woodstove(s) # ➢ Patio/Deck Cvrd ➢ Porch Covered ➢ Pool Yes ➢ Fence ○ Other ○ Other (describe) 1,198 Square Feet 	Car Storage None Driveway # of Cars 1 Driveway Surface Concrete Garage # of Cars Carport # of Cars 1 Attached Detached Built-in
# of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Image: Statisting Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1973 Effective Age (Yrs) 17 Yrs Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient iter Describe the condition of the property and data and no known adverse conditions were Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or If Yes, describe.	Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Block/Siding Roof Surface Comp Shingle Gutters & DownspoutsAverage Window Type Single Alum Dishwasher Disposal Mi 6 Rooms 3 Bedrooms ns, etc.) Average features a source(s) (including apparent needed re re noted. r adverse conditions that affect the livability	FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Electric Central Air Conditioning Individual Other crowave Washer/Dryer 2 Bath(s) pairs, deterioration, renovations, ty, soundness, or structural integration	 ➢ Fireplace(s) # 1 ➢ Woodstove(s) # ➢ Patio/Deck Cvrd ➢ Porch Covered ➢ Pool Yes ➢ Fence ○ Other ○ Other (describe) 1,198 Square Feet 	Car Storage None Driveway # of Cars Driveway Surface Concrete Garage # of Cars Carport # of Cars Attached Detached Built-in Detached of Gross Living Area Above Grade oject is in average condition
# of Stories 1 Type Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Image: Statisting Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) Ranch Year Built 1973 Effective Age (Yrs) 17 Yrs Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven Finished area above grade contains: Additional features (special energy efficient iter Describe the condition of the property and data and no known adverse conditions were Are there any apparent physical deficiencies or If Yes, describe.	Concrete Slab Crawl Space Full Basement Finished Partial Basement Finished Exterior Walls Block/Siding Roof Surface Comp Shingle Gutters & DownspoutsAverage Window Type Single Alum Dishwasher Disposal Mi 6 Rooms 3 Bedrooms ns, etc.) Average features a source(s) (including apparent needed re re noted. radverse conditions that affect the livabili ghborhood (functional utility, style, conditi	FWA HWBB Radiant Other Fuel Electric Central Air Conditioning Individual Other crowave Washer/Dryer 2 Bath(s) pairs, deterioration, renovations, ty, soundness, or structural integration	➢ Fireplace(s) # 1 ☑ Woodstove(s) # 2 ☑ Patio/Deck Cvrd 2 ☑ Porch Covered 2 ☑ Pool Yes 3 ☑ Fence 0 ☑ Other 3 ☑ Other (describe) 1,198 1,198 Square Feet □ remodeling, etc.)The sub □ 1 □ Yes □ No □ Yes	Car Storage None Driveway # of Cars 1 Driveway Surface Concrete Garage # of Cars Carport # of Cars 1 Attached Detached Built-in

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report File # Sauer

There are 7 comparable properties currently offered for sale in the subject neighborhood ranging in price from \$ 250,000 to \$ 349,000									
There are 30 comparab	le sales in the subject	t neighborhood withi	n the past twelve mon	ths ranging in sa	ale pric	e from \$ 198,00	0		50,000 .
FEATURE	SUBJECT		BLE SALE # 1			E SALE # 2		COMPARABL	
Address 1283 W Oakland	St	1278 W Toledo	St	549 N Chipp	oewa [Dr	1137 V	N Oakland	St
Chandler, AZ 85		Chandler, AZ 85		Chandler, A			-	ller, AZ 852	
Proximity to Subject		0.32 miles S		0.35 miles E			0.27 m		
Sale Price	\$ Refinance		\$ 235,900		-	3 206,500			\$ 219,900
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ sq.ft			\$ 209.01		200,500		08.24 sq.ft.	Ψ 219,900
	φ Sy.it								2
Data Source(s)		APN#302-48-02		APN#302-48				302-48-50	
Verification Source(s)	DECODIDITION	DOC#06-12277		DOC#07-00				07-010441	
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTIO		+(-) \$ Adjustment		CRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment
Sales or Financing		Fannie Mae		Fannie Mae			Fannie		
Concessions		None Known		None Knowr	n		None I	Known	
Date of Sale/Time		09/15/2006		01/03/2007			01/26/2	2007	
Location	Average	Average		Average			Avera	ge	
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Simple			Fee Si	imple	
Site	6,177+/- SF	8,098+/- SF	-2.000	5,604+/- SF	:		6,425+	+/- SF	
View	Residential	Residential	,	Residential			Reside		
Design (Style)	Ranch	Ranch		Ranch			Ranch		
Quality of Construction	Average	Average		Average			Avera		
Actual Age	1973	1969		1974			1974		
Condition	Average	Average		Average			Avera	70	
Above Grade	Average Total Bdrms. Baths			Total Bdrms.	Batho			drms. Baths	
Room Count									
	6 3 2	6 <u>3</u> 2		5 3	2		5	3 2	
Gross Living Area	1,198 sq.ft		-1,550		sy.n.	+5,250		,056 sq.ft.	+3,550
Basement & Finished	None	None		None			None		
Rooms Below Grade	N/A	N/A		N/A			N/A		
Functional Utility	Average	Average		Average			Averac		
Heating/Cooling	CAC/FWA	CAC/FWA		CAC/FWA			CAC/F		
Heating/Cooling Energy Efficient Items Garage/Carport	Average	Average		Average			Avera	ge	
Garage/Carport	1 Car Carport	1 Car Carport		1 Car Carpo	ort		1 Car	Carport	
Darah /Datia /Daal	Covered Patio	Covered Patio		Covered Pat	itio		1	ed Patio	
S Fireplace	1 Fireplace	No Fireplace	+1,500	No Fireplace	e	+1,500	No Fire	eplace	+1,500
Swim Pool	Swim Pool	No Pool		No Pool		+8,000	1		+8,000
PA						-,			
Net Adjustment (Total)		⊠ + □ -	\$ 5,950	⊠+□	7 - \$	6 14,750	⊠ -	+ 🗆 •	\$ 13,050
Fireplace Swim Pool Net Adjustment (Total) Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj. 2.5 %			1 %		Net Adj.		
			'				nuct / tup.		
u of Comparables		Gross Adi 5.5 %		-			-		\$ 222.050
or comparables	the sale or transfer t	Gross Adj. 5.5 %	\$ 241,850	Gross Adj. 7.	1 % \$		-	dj. 5.9 %	\$ 232,950
or comparables	the sale or transfer h			Gross Adj. 7.	1 % \$		-		\$ 232,950
or comparables	the sale or transfer h		\$ 241,850	Gross Adj. 7.	1 % \$		-		\$ 232,950
I did did not research		nistory of the subject	241,850 property and comparat	Gross Adj. 7. Dle sales. If not, e	1 % \$ explain	5 221,250	Gross A	dj. 5.9 %	\$ 232,950
My research did id did id did id did id did id did id	not reveal any prior s	nistory of the subject	\$ 241,850	Gross Adj. 7. Dle sales. If not, e	1 % \$ explain	5 221,250	Gross A	dj. 5.9 %	\$ 232,950
My research did did did did Data Source(s) Public Rec	not reveal any prior s cords	history of the subject j	\$ 241,850 property and comparate ne subject property for	Gross Adj. 7. ole sales. If not, e the three years p	<u>1 %</u> explain prior to 1	5 221,250 the effective date of	Gross A	dj. 5.9 % aisal.	\$ 232,950
My research did did not research Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did did	not reveal any prior s cords not reveal any prior s	history of the subject j	241,850 property and comparat	Gross Adj. 7. ole sales. If not, e the three years p	<u>1 %</u> explain prior to 1	5 221,250 the effective date of	Gross A	dj. 5.9 % aisal.	\$ 232,950
My research did did not research My research did did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec	not reveal any prior s cords not reveal any prior s cords	history of the subject ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th	\$ 241,850 property and comparate 241,850 ne subject property for 241,850 ne subject property for 241,850 ne comparable sales for 241,850	Gross Adj. 7. Ile sales. If not, e the three years p	<u>1 % </u> explain prior to t	221,250 the effective date of ate of sale of the con	Gross A this appra	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale.	
My research did did not research My research did did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec Report the results of the researc	not reveal any prior s cords not reveal any prior s cords h and analysis of the	ales or transfers of the ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th prior sale or transfer	\$ 241,850 property and comparate 241,850 re subject property for 241,850 re comparable sales for 241,850 history of the subject property for 241,850	Gross Adj. 7. Ile sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con	1 % \$ explain prior to t to the da	221,250 the effective date of ate of sale of the con e sales (report additi	Gross A this appra nparable ional prio	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. r sales on pa	ge 3).
My research did did not research My research did did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec Report the results of the researc ITEM	not reveal any prior s cords not reveal any prior s cords h and analysis of the	history of the subject ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th	\$ 241,850 property and comparate 241,850 ne subject property for 241,850 ne subject property for 241,850 ne comparable sales for 241,850	Gross Adj. 7. Ile sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con	1 % \$ explain prior to t to the da	221,250 the effective date of ate of sale of the con	Gross A this appra nparable ional prio	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. r sales on pa	
My research did did not research My research did did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec Report the results of the researc	not reveal any prior s cords not reveal any prior s cords h and analysis of the	ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th prior sale or transfer UBJECT	\$ 241,850 property and comparate 241,850 re subject property for 241,850 re comparable sales for 241,850 history of the subject property for 241,850	Gross Adj. 7. le sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con GALE #1	1 % \$ explain prior to 1 to the da mparable COI	221,250 the effective date of ate of sale of the con e sales (report additi	Gross A this appra- nparable ional prio 2	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. r sales on pa COMPAF	ge 3).
My research did did not research My research did did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec Report the results of the researc ITEM	not reveal any prior s cords not reveal any prior s cords h and analysis of the S	ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th prior sale or transfer UBJECT	\$ 241,850 property and comparate ie subject property for ie comparable sales for history of the subject property and comparable sales for	Gross Adj. 7. Ale sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con ALE #1 ponths N	1 % \$ explain prior to 1 to the da mparable COI	221,250 the effective date of ate of sale of the con e sales (report additi MPARABLE SALE #	Gross A this appra- nparable ional prio 2	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. r sales on pa COMPAF	ge 3). RABLE SALE #3
My research did in ot research My research did in ot research	not reveal any prior s cords not reveal any prior s cords h and analysis of the S No Sales in	ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th prior sale or transfer UBJECT 36 Months	\$ 241,850 property and comparate ne subject property for ne comparable sales for history of the subject property and comparable sales for No Sales in 36 Med	Gross Adj. 7. le sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con ALE #1 ponths \$	1 % \$ explain prior to 1 to the da mparable COI No Sale 50	221,250 the effective date of ate of sale of the con e sales (report additi MPARABLE SALE #	Gross A this appra nparable ional prio 2	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. r sales on pa COMPAF No Sales ir	ge 3). RABLE SALE #3 n 36 Months
My research did oid not research My research did did Data Source(s) Public Reco My research did did Data Source(s) Public Reco Report the results of the researc ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer	not reveal any prior s cords not reveal any prior s cords h and analysis of the No Sales in \$0 Public Recc	ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th prior sale or transfer UBJECT 36 Months	241,850 property and comparate e subject property for e comparable sales for history of the subject p COMPARABLE S No Sales in 36 Mo \$0	Gross Adj. 7. le sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con ALE #1 S S P	1 % \$ explain prior to 1 to the da mparable COI No Sale 50	the effective date of ate of sale of the con e sales (report additi MPARABLE SALE # es in 36 Months Records	Gross A this appra nparable ional prio 2	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. r sales on pa COMPAF No Sales ir \$0	ge 3). RABLE SALE #3 n 36 Months
My research did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec Report the results of the researc ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s)	not reveal any prior s cords not reveal any prior s cords th and analysis of the No Sales in \$0 Public Recc Current	ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th prior sale or transfer UBJECT 36 Months ords	241,850 property and comparate e subject property for e comparable sales for history of the subject p COMPARABLE S No Sales in 36 Me \$0 Public Records Current	Gross Adj. 7. le sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con ALE #1 S P P C C	1 % \$ explain prior to 1 to the da mparable COI No Sale \$0 Public I Curren	the effective date of the effective date of ate of sale of the con e sales (report additi MPARABLE SALE # es in 36 Months Records t	Gross A this appra nparable ional prio 2 1 5 5 6 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. r sales on pa COMPAF No Sales ir \$0 Public Reco Current	ge 3). RABLE SALE #3 n 36 Months ords
My research did ont research My research did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec Report the results of the researc ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s)	not reveal any prior s cords not reveal any prior s cords th and analysis of the No Sales in \$0 Public Recc Current	ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th prior sale or transfer UBJECT 36 Months ords	241,850 property and comparate e subject property for e comparable sales for history of the subject p COMPARABLE S No Sales in 36 Me \$0 Public Records Current	Gross Adj. 7. le sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con ALE #1 S P P C C	1 % \$ explain prior to 1 to the da mparable COI No Sale \$0 Public I Curren	the effective date of ate of sale of the con e sales (report additi MPARABLE SALE # es in 36 Months Records	Gross A this appra nparable ional prio 2 1 5 5 6 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. r sales on pa COMPAF No Sales ir \$0 Public Reco Current	ge 3). RABLE SALE #3 n 36 Months ords
My research did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec Report the results of the researc ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer	not reveal any prior s cords not reveal any prior s cords th and analysis of the No Sales in \$0 Public Recc Current	ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th prior sale or transfer UBJECT 36 Months ords	241,850 property and comparate e subject property for e comparable sales for history of the subject p COMPARABLE S No Sales in 36 Me \$0 Public Records Current	Gross Adj. 7. le sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con ALE #1 S P P C C	1 % \$ explain prior to 1 to the da mparable COI No Sale \$0 Public I Curren	the effective date of the effective date of ate of sale of the con e sales (report additi MPARABLE SALE # es in 36 Months Records t	Gross A this appra nparable ional prio 2 1 5 5 6 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. r sales on pa COMPAF No Sales ir \$0 Public Reco Current	ge 3). RABLE SALE #3 n 36 Months ords
My research did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec Report the results of the researc ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer	not reveal any prior s cords not reveal any prior s cords th and analysis of the No Sales in \$0 Public Recc Current	ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th prior sale or transfer UBJECT 36 Months ords	241,850 property and comparate e subject property for e comparable sales for history of the subject p COMPARABLE S No Sales in 36 Me \$0 Public Records Current	Gross Adj. 7. le sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con ALE #1 S P P C C	1 % \$ explain prior to 1 to the da mparable COI No Sale \$0 Public I Curren	the effective date of the effective date of ate of sale of the con e sales (report additi MPARABLE SALE # es in 36 Months Records t	Gross A this appra nparable ional prio 2 1 5 5 6 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. r sales on pa COMPAF No Sales ir \$0 Public Reco Current	ge 3). RABLE SALE #3 n 36 Months ords
My research did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec Report the results of the researc ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer	not reveal any prior s cords not reveal any prior s cords th and analysis of the No Sales in \$0 Public Recc Current	ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th prior sale or transfer UBJECT 36 Months ords	241,850 property and comparate e subject property for e comparable sales for history of the subject p COMPARABLE S No Sales in 36 Me \$0 Public Records Current	Gross Adj. 7. le sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con ALE #1 S P P C C	1 % \$ explain prior to 1 to the da mparable COI No Sale \$0 Public I Curren	the effective date of the effective date of ate of sale of the con e sales (report additi MPARABLE SALE # es in 36 Months Records t	Gross A this appra nparable ional prio 2 1 5 5 6 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. r sales on pa COMPAF No Sales ir \$0 Public Reco Current	ge 3). RABLE SALE #3 n 36 Months ords
My research did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec Report the results of the researc ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer	not reveal any prior s cords not reveal any prior s cords th and analysis of the No Sales in \$0 Public Recc Current	ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th prior sale or transfer UBJECT 36 Months ords	241,850 property and comparate e subject property for e comparable sales for history of the subject p COMPARABLE S No Sales in 36 Me \$0 Public Records Current	Gross Adj. 7. le sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con ALE #1 S P P C C	1 % \$ explain prior to 1 to the da mparable COI No Sale \$0 Public I Curren	the effective date of the effective date of ate of sale of the con e sales (report additi MPARABLE SALE # es in 36 Months Records t	Gross A this appra nparable ional prio 2 1 5 5 6 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. r sales on pa COMPAF No Sales ir \$0 Public Reco Current	ge 3). RABLE SALE #3 n 36 Months ords
My research did did not research My research did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) Public Reco My research did did Data Source(s) Public Reco Report the results of the researc ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer public records/MLS.	not reveal any prior s cords not reveal any prior s cords h and analysis of the No Sales in \$0 Public Recc Current r history of the subjec	ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th prior sale or transfer UBJECT 36 Months ords	241,850 property and comparate property and comparate property for the subject property for the comparable sales for thistory of the subject property for COMPARABLE S No Sales in 36 Ma S0 Public Records Current trable sales In the comparate of the sales In the comparate	Gross Adj. 7. le sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con ALE #1 ponths N \$ P C ne past 36 mo	1 % \$ explain prior to 1 to the da mparable COI No Sale SO Public I Current onths,	221,250 the effective date of ate of sale of the con e sales (report additi MPARABLE SALE # es in 36 Months Records t subject property	Gross A this appra nparable ional prio 2 N 5 4 5 7 7 9 8 7 7 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 7 7 7	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. r sales on pa COMPAF No Sales ir 50 Public Reco Current ot been list	ge 3). RABLE SALE #3 n 36 Months ords ted or sold per
My research did did not research My research did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec Report the results of the researc ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer public records/MLS.	not reveal any prior s cords not reveal any prior s cords h and analysis of the No Sales in \$0 Public Recc Current r history of the subject Approach Comp	ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th prior sale or transfer UBJECT 36 Months ords	241,850 property and comparate e subject property for e comparable sales for history of the subject p COMPARABLE S No Sales in 36 Me \$0 Public Records Current	Gross Adj. 7. le sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con ALE #1 ponths N \$ P C ne past 36 mo	1 % \$ explain prior to 1 to the da mparable COI No Sale SO Public I Current onths,	221,250 the effective date of ate of sale of the con e sales (report additi MPARABLE SALE # es in 36 Months Records t subject property	Gross A this appra nparable ional prio 2 N 5 4 5 7 7 9 8 7 7 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 7 7 7	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. r sales on pa COMPAF No Sales ir 50 Public Reco Current ot been list	ge 3). RABLE SALE #3 n 36 Months ords ted or sold per
My research did did not research My research did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) Public Reco My research did did Data Source(s) Public Reco Report the results of the researc ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer public records/MLS.	not reveal any prior s cords not reveal any prior s cords h and analysis of the No Sales in \$0 Public Recc Current r history of the subject Approach Comp	ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th prior sale or transfer UBJECT 36 Months ords	241,850 property and comparate property and comparate property for the subject property for the comparable sales for thistory of the subject property for COMPARABLE S No Sales in 36 Ma S0 Public Records Current trable sales In the comparate of the sales In the comparate	Gross Adj. 7. le sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con ALE #1 ponths N \$ P C ne past 36 mo	1 % \$ explain prior to 1 to the da mparable COI No Sale SO Public I Current onths,	221,250 the effective date of ate of sale of the con e sales (report additi MPARABLE SALE # es in 36 Months Records t subject property	Gross A this appra nparable ional prio 2 N 5 4 5 7 7 9 8 7 7 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 7 7 7	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. r sales on pa COMPAF No Sales ir 50 Public Reco Current ot been list	ge 3). RABLE SALE #3 n 36 Months ords ted or sold per
My research did did not research My research did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec Report the results of the researc ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer public records/MLS.	not reveal any prior s cords not reveal any prior s cords h and analysis of the No Sales in \$0 Public Recc Current r history of the subject Approach Comp	ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th prior sale or transfer UBJECT 36 Months ords	241,850 property and comparate property and comparate property for the subject property for the comparable sales for thistory of the subject property for COMPARABLE S No Sales in 36 Ma S0 Public Records Current trable sales In the comparate of the sales In the comparate	Gross Adj. 7. le sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con ALE #1 ponths N \$ P C ne past 36 mo	1 % \$ explain prior to 1 to the da mparable COI No Sale SO Public I Current onths,	221,250 the effective date of ate of sale of the con e sales (report additi MPARABLE SALE # es in 36 Months Records t subject property	Gross A this appra nparable ional prio 2 N 5 4 5 7 7 9 8 7 7 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 7 7 7	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. r sales on pa COMPAF No Sales ir 50 Public Reco Current ot been list	ge 3). RABLE SALE #3 n 36 Months ords ted or sold per
My research did did not research My research did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec Report the results of the researc ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer public records/MLS.	not reveal any prior s cords not reveal any prior s cords h and analysis of the No Sales in \$0 Public Recc Current r history of the subject Approach Comp	ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th prior sale or transfer UBJECT 36 Months ords	241,850 property and comparate property and comparate property for the subject property for the comparable sales for thistory of the subject property for COMPARABLE S No Sales in 36 Ma S0 Public Records Current trable sales In the comparate of the sales In the comparate	Gross Adj. 7. le sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con ALE #1 ponths N \$ P C ne past 36 mo	1 % \$ explain prior to 1 to the da mparable COI No Sale SO Public I Current onths,	221,250 the effective date of ate of sale of the con e sales (report additi MPARABLE SALE # es in 36 Months Records t subject property	Gross A this appra nparable ional prio 2 N 5 4 5 7 7 9 8 7 7 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 7 7 7	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. r sales on pa COMPAF No Sales ir 50 Public Reco Current ot been list	ge 3). RABLE SALE #3 n 36 Months ords ted or sold per
My research did did not research My research did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec Report the results of the researc ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer public records/MLS.	not reveal any prior s cords not reveal any prior s cords h and analysis of the No Sales in \$0 Public Recc Current r history of the subject Approach Comp	ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th prior sale or transfer UBJECT 36 Months ords	241,850 property and comparate property and comparate property for the subject property for the comparable sales for thistory of the subject property for COMPARABLE S No Sales in 36 Ma S0 Public Records Current trable sales In the the sales In the	Gross Adj. 7. le sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con ALE #1 ponths N \$ P C ne past 36 mo	1 % \$ explain prior to 1 to the da mparable COI No Sale SO Public I Current onths,	221,250 the effective date of ate of sale of the con e sales (report additi MPARABLE SALE # es in 36 Months Records t subject property	Gross A this appra nparable ional prio 2 N 5 4 5 7 7 9 8 7 7 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 7 7 7	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. r sales on pa COMPAF No Sales ir 50 Public Reco Current ot been list	ge 3). ABLE SALE #3 n 36 Months ords ted or sold per
My research did did not research My research did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec Report the results of the researc ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer public records/MLS.	not reveal any prior s cords not reveal any prior s cords th and analysis of the No Sales in \$0 Public Recc Current r history of the subject Approach Comp	ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th prior sale or transfer UBJECT 36 Months ords	241,850 property and comparate property and comparate property for the subject property for the comparable sales for thistory of the subject property for COMPARABLE S No Sales in 36 Ma S0 Public Records Current trable sales In the the sales In the	Gross Adj. 7. le sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con ALE #1 ponths N \$ P C ne past 36 mo	1 % \$ explain prior to 1 to the da mparable COI No Sale SO Public I Current onths,	221,250 the effective date of ate of sale of the con e sales (report additi MPARABLE SALE # es in 36 Months Records t subject property	Gross A this appra nparable ional prio 2 N 5 4 5 7 7 9 8 7 7 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 7 7 7	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. r sales on pa COMPAF No Sales ir 50 Public Reco Current ot been list	ge 3). RABLE SALE #3 n 36 Months ords ted or sold per
My research did did not research My research did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec Report the results of the researc ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer public records/MLS.	not reveal any prior s cords not reveal any prior s cords th and analysis of the No Sales in \$0 Public Recc Current r history of the subject Approach Comp	ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th ales or transfers of th prior sale or transfer UBJECT 36 Months ords	241,850 property and comparate property and comparate property for the subject property for the comparable sales for thistory of the subject property for COMPARABLE S No Sales in 36 Ma S0 Public Records Current trable sales In the the sales In the	Gross Adj. 7. le sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con ALE #1 ponths N \$ P C ne past 36 mo	1 % \$ explain prior to 1 to the da mparable COI No Sale SO Public I Current onths,	221,250 the effective date of ate of sale of the con e sales (report additi MPARABLE SALE # es in 36 Months Records t subject property	Gross A this appra nparable ional prio 2 N 5 4 5 7 7 9 8 7 7 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 7 7 7	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. r sales on pa COMPAF No Sales ir 50 Public Reco Current ot been list	ge 3). RABLE SALE #3 n 36 Months ords ted or sold per
My research did did not research My research did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec Report the results of the researc ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer public records/MLS. Summary of Sales Comparison the best and most recent	not reveal any prior s cords not reveal any prior s cords h and analysis of the So No Sales in \$0 Public Recc Current r history of the subject Approach Comp available.	ales or transfers of the ales or transfers of the ales or transfers of the prior sale or transfer UBJECT 36 Months ords the property and company the arable research i	241,850 property and comparate property and comparate property for the subject property for the comparable sales for thistory of the subject property for COMPARABLE S No Sales in 36 Ma S0 Public Records Current trable sales In the the sales In the	Gross Adj. 7. le sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con ALE #1 ponths N \$ P C ne past 36 mo	1 % \$ explain prior to 1 to the da mparable COI No Sale SO Public I Current onths,	221,250 the effective date of ate of sale of the con e sales (report additi MPARABLE SALE # es in 36 Months Records t subject property	Gross A this appra nparable ional prio 2 N 5 4 5 7 7 9 8 7 7 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 7 7 7	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. r sales on pa COMPAF No Sales ir 50 Public Reco Current ot been list	ge 3). RABLE SALE #3 n 36 Months ords ted or sold per
My research did did not research My research did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec Report the results of the researc ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer public records/MLS. Summary of Sales Comparison the best and most recent Indicated Value by Sales Comparison	not reveal any prior s cords not reveal any prior s cords h and analysis of the So No Sales in \$0 Public Recc Current r history of the subject Approach Comp available. rison Approach \$ 2	ales or transfers of the sales or transfers of the sales or transfers of the prior sale or transfer UBJECT 36 Months ords ords ords ords ords ords ords ord	241,850 property and comparate e subject property for e comparable sales for history of the subject p COMPARABLE S No Sales in 36 Me \$0 Public Records Current urable sales In th ncluded MLS data	Gross Adj. 7. le sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con ALE #1 ponths N P C ne past 36 m , Tax and Ass	1 % \$ explain orior to 1 to the da mparable COI No Sale O Public I Current onths, sesme	221,250 the effective date of ate of sale of the com- e sales (report additi MPARABLE SALE # es in 36 Months Records t subject propert	Gross A this appra- nparable ional prio 2 N 4 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. sale. r sales on pa COMPAF No Sales ir 60 Public Reco Current ot been list arables use	ge 3). ABLE SALE #3 n 36 Months ords ted or sold per ed deemed to be
My research did did not research My research did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec Report the results of the researc ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer public records/MLS. Summary of Sales Comparison the best and most recent	not reveal any prior s cords not reveal any prior s cords h and analysis of the So No Sales in \$0 Public Recc Current r history of the subject Approach Comp available. rison Approach \$ 2	ales or transfers of the sales or transfers of the sales or transfers of the prior sale or transfer UBJECT 36 Months ords ords ords ords ords ords ords ord	241,850 property and comparate property and comparate property for the subject property for the comparable sales for thistory of the subject property for COMPARABLE S No Sales in 36 Ma S0 Public Records Current trable sales In the the sales In the	Gross Adj. 7. le sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con ALE #1 ponths N P C ne past 36 m , Tax and Ass	1 % \$ explain prior to 1 to the da mparable COI No Sale SO Public I Current onths,	221,250 the effective date of ate of sale of the com- e sales (report additi MPARABLE SALE # es in 36 Months Records t subject propert	Gross A this appra- nparable ional prio 2 N 4 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. r sales on pa COMPAF No Sales ir 50 Public Reco Current ot been list	ge 3). ABLE SALE #3 n 36 Months ords ted or sold per ed deemed to be
My research did did not research My research did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec Report the results of the researc ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer public records/MLS. Summary of Sales Comparison the best and most recent Indicated Value by Sales Comparison	not reveal any prior s cords not reveal any prior s cords h and analysis of the So No Sales in \$0 Public Recc Current r history of the subject Approach Comp available. rison Approach \$ 2	ales or transfers of the sales or transfers of the sales or transfers of the prior sale or transfer UBJECT 36 Months ords ords ords ords ords ords ords ord	241,850 property and comparate e subject property for e comparable sales for history of the subject p COMPARABLE S No Sales in 36 Me \$0 Public Records Current urable sales In th ncluded MLS data	Gross Adj. 7. le sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con ALE #1 ponths N P C ne past 36 m , Tax and Ass	1 % \$ explain orior to 1 to the da mparable COI No Sale O Public I Current onths, sesme	221,250 the effective date of ate of sale of the com- e sales (report additi MPARABLE SALE # es in 36 Months Records t subject propert	Gross A this appra- nparable ional prio 2 N 4 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. sale. r sales on pa COMPAF No Sales ir 60 Public Reco Current ot been list arables use	ge 3). ABLE SALE #3 n 36 Months ords ted or sold per ed deemed to be
My research did did not research My research did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec Report the results of the researc ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfel public records/MLS. Summary of Sales Comparison the best and most recent Indicated Value by Sales Compa Indicated Value by: Sales Compa	not reveal any prior s cords not reveal any prior s cords h and analysis of the So No Sales in \$0 Public Recc Current r history of the subject Approach Comp available. rison Approach \$ 2	ales or transfers of the sales or transfers of the sales or transfers of the prior sale or transfer UBJECT 36 Months ords ords ords ords ords ords ords ord	241,850 property and comparate e subject property for e comparable sales for history of the subject p COMPARABLE S No Sales in 36 Me \$0 Public Records Current urable sales In th ncluded MLS data	Gross Adj. 7. le sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con ALE #1 ponths N P C ne past 36 m , Tax and Ass	1 % \$ explain orior to 1 to the da mparable COI No Sale O Public I Current onths, sesme	221,250 the effective date of ate of sale of the com- e sales (report additi MPARABLE SALE # es in 36 Months Records t subject propert	Gross A this appra- nparable ional prio 2 N 4 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. sale. r sales on pa COMPAF No Sales ir 60 Public Reco Current ot been list arables use	ge 3). ABLE SALE #3 n 36 Months ords ted or sold per ed deemed to be
My research did did not research My research did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec Report the results of the researc ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfel public records/MLS. Summary of Sales Comparison the best and most recent Indicated Value by Sales Compa Indicated Value by: Sales Compa	not reveal any prior s cords not reveal any prior s cords h and analysis of the So No Sales in \$0 Public Recc Current r history of the subject Approach Comp available. rison Approach \$ 2	ales or transfers of the sales or transfers of the sales or transfers of the prior sale or transfer UBJECT 36 Months ords ords ords ords ords ords ords ord	241,850 property and comparate e subject property for e comparable sales for history of the subject p COMPARABLE S No Sales in 36 Me \$0 Public Records Current urable sales In th ncluded MLS data	Gross Adj. 7. le sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con ALE #1 ponths N P C ne past 36 m , Tax and Ass	1 % \$ explain orior to 1 to the da mparable COI No Sale O Public I Current onths, sesme	221,250 the effective date of ate of sale of the com- e sales (report additi MPARABLE SALE # es in 36 Months Records t subject propert	Gross A this appra- nparable ional prio 2 N 4 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. sale. r sales on pa COMPAF No Sales ir 60 Public Reco Current ot been list arables use	ge 3). ABLE SALE #3 n 36 Months ords ted or sold per ed deemed to be
My research did did not research My research did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec Report the results of the researc ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfel public records/MLS. Summary of Sales Comparison the best and most recent Indicated Value by Sales Compa Indicated Value by: Sales Compa	not reveal any prior s xords not reveal any prior s cords th and analysis of the No Sales in \$0 Public Recc Current r history of the subject Approach Comp available. rison Approach \$ 2 nparison \$ 2	ales or transfers of the sales or transfers of the sales or transfers of the prior sale or transfer UBJECT 36 Months ords the property and compa- the	241,850 property and comparate property and comparate property and comparate property for the subject property for the subject property for the subject property for the subject property of the subject property for the subject property for the subject property for the subject property for the subject property of the subject property for the	Gross Adj. 7. le sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con ALE #1 N S P C D ne past 36 me yeloped) \$ 23 veloped) \$ 23 N N N N N N N N N N N N N	1 % \$ explain prior to 1 to the da mparable COI No Sale 0 Public I Curren onths, sesme 31,654 a hypot	221,250 the effective date of ate of sale of the con esales (report additi MPARABLE SALE # es in 36 Months Records t subject propert ent records. The fincome App thetical condition that	Gross A this appra- nparable ional prio 2 N \$ F C y has no 2 P compa e compa	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. sale. r sales on pa COMPAF No Sales ir \$0 Public Rec Current ot been list ot been list arables use	ge 3). ABLE SALE #3 n 36 Months ords ted or sold per ed deemed to be) \$
My research did did not research My research did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec Report the results of the researc ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfel public records/MLS. Summary of Sales Comparison the best and most recent Indicated Value by Sales Compa Indicated Value by: Sales Compa	not reveal any prior s xords not reveal any prior s cords th and analysis of the No Sales in \$0 Public Recc Current r history of the subject Approach Comp available. rison Approach \$ 2 nparison \$ 2	ales or transfers of the sales or transfers of the sales or transfers of the prior sale or transfer UBJECT 36 Months ords the property and compa- the	241,850 property and comparate property and comparate property and comparate property for the subject property for the subject property for the subject property for the subject property of the subject property for the subject property for the subject property for the subject property for the subject property of the subject property for the	Gross Adj. 7. le sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con ALE #1 N S P C D ne past 36 me yeloped) \$ 23 veloped) \$ 23 N N N N N N N N N N N N N	1 % \$ explain prior to 1 to the da mparable COI No Sale 0 Public I Curren onths, sesme 31,654 a hypot	221,250 the effective date of ate of sale of the con esales (report additi MPARABLE SALE # es in 36 Months Records t subject propert ent records. The fincome App thetical condition that	Gross A this appra- nparable ional prio 2 N \$ F C y has no 2 P compa e compa	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. sale. r sales on pa COMPAF No Sales ir \$0 Public Rec Current ot been list ot been list arables use	ge 3). ABLE SALE #3 n 36 Months ords ted or sold per ed deemed to be) \$
My research did did not research My research did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec Report the results of the researc ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfel public records/MLS. Summary of Sales Comparison the best and most recent Indicated Value by Sales Compa Indicated Value by: Sales Compa	not reveal any prior s xords not reveal any prior s xords th and analysis of the No Sales in \$0 Public Recc Current r history of the subject Approach Comp available. rison Approach \$ 2 mparison Approach \$ 3 mparison \$ 3 mpa	ales or transfers of the sales or transfers of the sales or transfers of the prior sale or transfer UBJECT 36 Months ords the property and compa- the property and compa- the search i sarable research i 230,000 \$ 230,000 \$ 230,000	241,850 property and comparate e subject property for e comparable sales for history of the subject p COMPARABLE S No Sales in 36 Me \$0 Public Records Current trable sales In th cost Approach (if de s and specifications of sis of a hypothetical c	Gross Adj. 7. le sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con ALE #1 N S P C D ne past 36 me yeloped) \$ 23 on the basis of a ondition that the	1 % \$ explain prior to 1 to the da mparable COI No Sale O Ublic I Curren onths, sesme 31,654 a hypot e repairs	221,250 the effective date of ate of sale of the con e sales (report additi MPARABLE SALE # es in 36 Months Records t subject propert ent records. The fincome App thetical condition that s or alterations have	Gross A this appra- nparable ional prio 2 N \$ F C y has no 2 P compa e compa	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. sale. r sales on pa COMPAF No Sales ir \$0 Public Rec Current ot been list ot been list arables use	ge 3). ABLE SALE #3 n 36 Months ords ted or sold per ed deemed to be) \$
My research did did not research My research did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec Report the results of the researc ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfel public records/MLS. Summary of Sales Comparison the best and most recent Indicated Value by Sales Compa Indicated Value by: Sales Compa	not reveal any prior s xords not reveal any prior s xords th and analysis of the No Sales in \$0 Public Recc Current r history of the subject Approach Comp available. rison Approach \$ 2 mparison Approach \$ 3 mparison \$ 3 mpa	ales or transfers of the sales or transfers of the sales or transfers of the prior sale or transfer UBJECT 36 Months ords the property and compa- the property and compa- the search i sarable research i 230,000 \$ 230,000 \$ 230,000	241,850 property and comparate e subject property for e comparable sales for history of the subject p COMPARABLE S No Sales in 36 Me \$0 Public Records Current trable sales In th cost Approach (if de s and specifications of sis of a hypothetical c	Gross Adj. 7. le sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con ALE #1 N S P C D ne past 36 me yeloped) \$ 23 on the basis of a ondition that the	1 % \$ explain prior to 1 to the da mparable COI No Sale O Ublic I Curren onths, sesme 31,654 a hypot e repairs	221,250 the effective date of ate of sale of the con e sales (report additi MPARABLE SALE # es in 36 Months Records t subject propert ent records. The fincome App thetical condition that s or alterations have	Gross A this appra- nparable ional prio 2 N \$ F C y has no 2 P compa e compa	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. sale. r sales on pa COMPAF No Sales ir \$0 Public Rec Current ot been list ot been list arables use	ge 3). ABLE SALE #3 n 36 Months ords ted or sold per ed deemed to be be be be be be be be be be
Or Comparatives I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec Report the results of the researce ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer public records/MLS. Summary of Sales Comparison the best and most recent Indicated Value by Sales Comparison the best Comparison This appraisal is made "as completed,	not reveal any prior s xords not reveal any prior s xords th and analysis of the No Sales in \$0 Public Recc Current r history of the subject Approach Comp available. rison Approach \$ 2 nparison \$ 2 nparis	ales or transfers of the sales or transfers of the sales or transfers of the prior sale or transfer UBJECT 36 Months ords the property and compa- the property and compa- the sale research i sarable research i 230,000 \$ 230,000 \$ 230,000	241,850 property and comparate e subject property for e comparable sales for history of the subject pr COMPARABLE S No Sales in 36 Me \$0 Public Records Current trable sales In th contract of the subject pr Cost Approach (if de s and specifications of sis of a hypothetical c the condition or deficite	Gross Adj. 7. le sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con ALE #1 Donths N S P C Dae past 36 me , Tax and Ass veloped) \$ 23 on the basis of a ondition that the ency does not re	1 % \$ explain prior to 1 to the da mparable COI No Sale 0 Public I Current onths, onths, sesme 31,654 a hypot e repairs equire al	221,250 the effective date of ate of sale of the con e sales (report additi MPARABLE SALE # es in 36 Months Records t subject propert ent records. The fincome App thetical condition that s or alterations have thereation or repair:	Gross A this appra- nparable ional prio 2 N 5 C y has no 2 C y has no 5 C y has no 1 C y has no	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. sale. sale. sale. sales on pa COMPAF No Sales ir bo Public Rece Current ot been list arables use if developed	ige 3). ABLE SALE #3 a 36 Months ords ied or sold per ed deemed to be be be ied been isubject to the
Or Comparatives I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec Report the results of the researce ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer public records/MLS. Summary of Sales Comparison the best and most recent Indicated Value by Sales Comparison This appraisal is made ∑ "as completed,	not reveal any prior s xords not reveal any prior s xords th and analysis of the No Sales in \$0 Public Recc Current r history of the subject Approach Comp available. rison Approach \$ 2 mparison Approach \$ 2 nparison approach \$ 2 not the exterior are certification, my (o	ales or transfers of the sales or transfers of the sales or transfers of the prior sale or transfer UBJECT 36 Months ords the property and compa- the	241,850 property and comparate property and comparate property and comparate property for the subject property for property of the subject property for comparable sales for history of the subject property for the subject property from at lease pro	Gross Adj. 7. Ile sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con ALE #1 Donths N S P C Dae past 36 me , Tax and Ass veloped) \$ 23 on the basis of a ondition that the ency does not re t the street, de fined, of the re	1 % \$ explain prior to 1 to the da mparable COI No Sale 0 Public I Current onths, sesme 31,654 a hypot e repairs equire al efined s	221,250 the effective date of ate of sale of the com e sales (report additi MPARABLE SALE # es in 36 Months Records t subject propert ent records. The fincome App thetical condition that s or alterations have lteration or repair: scope of work, sta perty that is the s	Gross A this appra- nparable ional prio 2 N 5 C y has no 2 compa 2 compa 2 compa 4 compa 5 compa c	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. sale. sale. sale. sales on pa COMPAF No Sales ir bo Public Rece Current ot been list arables use if developed	ige 3). ABLE SALE #3 a 36 Months ords ied or sold per ed deemed to be be be ied been isubject to the
Or Comparatives I did did not research My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec My research did did Data Source(s) Public Rec Report the results of the researce ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Price of Prior Sale/Transfer Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer public records/MLS. Summary of Sales Comparison the best and most recent Indicated Value by Sales Comparison This appraisal is made "as completed, subject to the following required inspection ba Subject to the	not reveal any prior s xords not reveal any prior s xords th and analysis of the No Sales in \$0 Public Recc Current r history of the subject Approach Comp available. rison Approach \$ 2 nparison \$ 2 nparis	ales or transfers of the sales or transfers of the sales or transfers of the prior sale or transfer UBJECT 36 Months ords the property and compa- the	241,850 property and comparate e subject property for e comparable sales for history of the subject pr COMPARABLE S No Sales in 36 Me \$0 Public Records Current trable sales In th contract of the subject pr Cost Approach (if de s and specifications of sis of a hypothetical c the condition or deficite	Gross Adj. 7. Ile sales. If not, e the three years p r the year prior to property and con ALE #1 Donths N S P C Dae past 36 me , Tax and Ass veloped) \$ 23 on the basis of a ondition that the ency does not re t the street, de fined, of the re	1 % \$ explain prior to 1 to the da mparable COI No Sale 0 Public I Current onths, sesme 31,654 a hypot e repairs equire al efined s	221,250 the effective date of ate of sale of the com e sales (report additi MPARABLE SALE # es in 36 Months Records t subject propert ent records. The fincome App thetical condition that s or alterations have lteration or repair: scope of work, sta perty that is the s	Gross A this appra- nparable ional prio 2 N 5 C y has no 2 compa 2 compa 2 compa 4 compa 5 compa c	dj. 5.9 % aisal. sale. sale. sale. sale. sales on pa COMPAF No Sales ir bo Public Rece Current ot been list arables use if developed	ige 3). ABLE SALE #3 a 36 Months ords ied or sold per ed deemed to be be be ied been isubject to the

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report File # Sauer

There was insufficient data in this primarily owner occupied area to allow t	he computation of a Gross Rent Multipli	<u>ier which is necessary i</u> n o	rder to
use the Income Approach to value. Therefore, the Income Approach is n			
Mae requirement in order to derive at a final value. If the Cost Approach i			
Sales Comparison approach is the most reliable indicator of value and pro			
report is for lending purposes only and is not intended for any other use.	The appraiser accepts no responsibility	for the hazard insurance the	hat is
determined for this property.			
0			
COST APPROACH TO VALUE	(not required by Fannie Mae)		
	(not required by Fannie Mae) culations.		
COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f	culations.		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and call	culations.		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and call	culations.		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f	or estimating site value)		
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f	OPINION OF SITE VALUE	=\$	55,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,198 Sq.Ft. @ \$	175.00 =\$	55,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 12/06	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,198 Sq.Ft. @ \$	175.00 =\$ =\$	209,650
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 12/06 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING Cov Patio, Fencing, Pool	175.00 =\$ \$ \$	209,650 20,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 12/06 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Measurements used are from MLS/Public Records, unless otherwise	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,198 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Cov Patio, Fencing, Pool Garage/Carport 180 Sq.Ft. @ \$	175.00 =\$ =\$ =\$ 16.00=\$	209,650 20,000 2,880
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 12/06 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,198 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Cov Patio, Fencing, Pool Garage/Carport 180 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New	175.00 =\$ =\$ =\$ 16.00=\$ =\$	209,650 20,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 12/06 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Measurements used are from MLS/Public Records, unless otherwise	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,198 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Cov Patio, Fencing, Pool Garage/Carport 180 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional	175.00 =\$ =\$ =\$ 16.00=\$ =\$ External	209,650 20,000 2,880 232,530
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 12/06 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Measurements used are from MLS/Public Records, unless otherwise	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,198 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Cov Patio, Fencing, Pool Garage/Carport 180 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 65,876	175.00 =\$ =\$ =\$ 16.00=\$ External =\$(209,650 20,000 2,880 232,530 65,876)
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 12/06 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Measurements used are from MLS/Public Records, unless otherwise	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,198 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Cov Patio, Fencing, Pool Garage/Carport 180 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional	175.00 =\$ =\$ =\$ 16.00=\$ External =\$(209,650 20,000 2,880 232,530 65,876) 166,654
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 12/06 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Measurements used are from MLS/Public Records, unless otherwise	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,198 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Cov Patio, Fencing, Pool Garage/Carport 180 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 65,876 Depreciated Cost of Improvements	175.00 =\$ =\$ =\$ 16.00 =\$ =\$ External =\$(=\$	209,650 20,000 2,880 232,530 65,876)
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and cale Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 12/06 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Measurements used are from MLS/Public Records, unless otherwise noted.	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,198 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Cov Patio, Fencing, Pool Garage/Carport 180 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 65,876 Depreciated Cost of Improvements	175.00 =\$ 	209,650 20,000 2,880 232,530 65,876) 166,654
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and cale Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 12/06 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Measurements used are from MLS/Public Records, unless otherwise noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 43 Years	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,198 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Cov Patio, Fencing, Pool Garage/Carport 180 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 65,876 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements	175.00 =\$ 	209,650 20,000 2,880 232,530 65,876) 166,654 10,000
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and cale Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 12/06 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Measurements used are from MLS/Public Records, unless otherwise noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 43 Years	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,198 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Cov Patio, Fencing, Pool Garage/Carport 180 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 65,876 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH	175.00 =\$ 	209,650 20,000 2,880 232,530 65,876) 166,654 10,000 231,654
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and cale Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 12/06 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Measurements used are from MLS/Public Records, unless otherwise noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 43 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,198 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Cov Patio, Fencing, Pool Garage/Carport 180 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 65,876 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements	175.00 =\$ =\$ =\$ 16.00=\$ External=\$ External=\$ =\$ =\$	209,650 20,000 2,880 232,530 65,876) 166,654 10,000 231,654
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 12/06 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Measurements used are from MLS/Public Records, unless otherwise noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 43 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,198 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Cov Patio, Fencing, Pool Garage/Carport 180 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 65,876 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements	175.00 =\$ =\$ =\$ 16.00=\$ External=\$ External=\$ =\$ =\$	209,650 20,000 2,880 232,530 65,876) 166,654 10,000 231,654
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and cale Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 12/06 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Measurements used are from MLS/Public Records, unless otherwise noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 43 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,198 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Cov Patio, Fencing, Pool Garage/Carport 180 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 65,876 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements	175.00 =\$ =\$ =\$ 16.00=\$ External=\$ External=\$ =\$ =\$	209,650 20,000 2,880 232,530 65,876) 166,654 10,000 231,654
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calc Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 12/06 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Measurements used are from MLS/Public Records, unless otherwise Noted. Income Approach (If (HUD and VA only)) 43 Years Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 43 Years Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,198 DWELLING 1,198 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Cov Patio, Fencing, Pool Garage/Carport 180 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Pupreciation 65,876 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) N/A = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s)	175.00 =\$ 	209,650 20,000 2,880 232,530 65,876) 166,654 10,000 231,654
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 12/06 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Measurements used are from MLS/Public Records, unless otherwise Noted. NA X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,198 DWELLING 1,198 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Cov Patio, Fencing, Pool Garage/Carport 180 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Pupreciation 65,876 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) N/A = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s)	175.00 =\$ 	209,650 20,000 2,880 232,530 65,876) 166,654 10,000 231,654
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 12/06 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Measurements used are from MLS/Public Records, unless otherwise noted.	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,198 DWELLING 1,198 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Cov Patio, Fencing, Pool Garage/Carport 180 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Puperciation 65,876 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) N/A = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached	175.00 =\$ 	209,650 20,000 2,880 232,530 65,876) 166,654 10,000 231,654
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 12/06 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Measurements used are from MLS/Public Records, unless otherwise noted.	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DVELLING 1,198 DWELLING 1,198 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Cov Patio, Fencing, Pool Garage/Carport 180 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Pupeciation 65,876 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) N/A = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attache HOA and the subject property is an attached dwe Total number of units sold	175.00 =\$ 	209,650 20,000 2,880 232,530 65,876) 166,654 10,000 231,654
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Ouality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 12/06 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Measurements used are from MLS/Public Records, unless otherwise noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 43 Years Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Legal Name of Project Total number of units Total number of units for sale	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DVELLING 1,198 DWELLING 1,198 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Cov Patio, Fencing, Pool Garage/Carport 180 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 65,876 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) N/A = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attache HOA and the subject property is an attached dwe Total number of units sold Data source(s)	175.00 =\$ 	209,650 20,000 2,880 232,530 65,876) 166,654 10,000 231,654
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 12/06 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Measurements used are from MLS/Public Records, unless otherwise noted.	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DVELLING 1,198 DWELLING 1,198 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Cov Patio, Fencing, Pool Garage/Carport 180 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Pupeciation 65,876 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) N/A = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attache HOA and the subject property is an attached dwe Total number of units sold	175.00 =\$ 	209,650 20,000 2,880 232,530 65,876) 166,654 10,000 231,654
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 12/06 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Measurements used are from MLS/Public Records, unless otherwise noted.	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,198 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Cov Patio, Fencing, Pool Garage/Carport 180 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Pupreciation 65,876 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "NDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) N/A = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached dwe Total number of units sold Data source(s) No No If Yes, date of conversion	175.00 =\$ 	209,650 20,000 2,880 232,530 65,876) 166,654 10,000 231,654
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 12/06 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Measurements used are from MLS/Public Records, unless otherwise noted.	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DVELLING 1,198 DWELLING 1,198 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Cov Patio, Fencing, Pool Garage/Carport 180 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciation 65,876 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) N/A = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attache HOA and the subject property is an attached dwe Total number of units sold Data source(s)	175.00 =\$ 	209,650 20,000 2,880 232,530 65,876) 166,654 10,000 231,654
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 12/06 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Measurements used are from MLS/Public Records, unless otherwise noted.	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,198 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Cov Patio, Fencing, Pool Garage/Carport 180 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Pupreciation 65,876 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "NDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) N/A = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached dwe Total number of units sold Data source(s) No No If Yes, date of conversion	175.00 =\$ 	209,650 20,000 2,880 232,530 65,876) 166,654 10,000 231,654
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 12/06 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Measurements used are from MLS/Public Records, unless otherwise noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 43 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Legal Name of Project Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,198 DWELLING 1,198 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Cov Patio, Fencing, Pool Garage/Carport 180 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) N/A = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached dwe Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion If No, describe the status of completion.	175.00 =\$ =\$ 16.00=\$ External=\$ External=\$ =\$ =\$ Indicated Value by Income ed	209,650 20,000 2,880 232,530 65,876) 166,654 10,000 231,654
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 12/06 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Measurements used are from MLS/Public Records, unless otherwise noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 43 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Legal Name of Project Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,198 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Sq.Ft. @ \$ Cov Patio, Fencing, Pool Garage/Carport 180 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Pupreciation 65,876 Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "NDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) N/A = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached dwe Total number of units sold Data source(s) No No If Yes, date of conversion	175.00 =\$ =\$ 16.00=\$ External=\$ External=\$ =\$ =\$ Indicated Value by Income ed	209,650 20,000 2,880 232,530 65,876) 166,654 10,000 231,654
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 12/06 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Measurements used are from MLS/Public Records, unless otherwise noted.	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,198 DWELLING 1,198 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Cov Patio, Fencing, Pool Garage/Carport 180 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) N/A = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached dwe Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion If No, describe the status of completion.	175.00 =\$ =\$ 16.00=\$ External=\$ External=\$ =\$ =\$ Indicated Value by Income ed	209,650 20,000 2,880 232,530 65,876) 166,654 10,000 231,654
Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and call Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods f Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Quality rating from cost service Avg Effective date of cost data 12/06 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) Measurements used are from MLS/Public Records, unless otherwise noted. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 43 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Legal Name of Project Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data Source(s) Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No	OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,198 DWELLING 1,198 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Cov Patio, Fencing, Pool Garage/Carport 180 Sq.Ft. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional Depreciated Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not required by Fannie Mae) N/A = \$ FOR PUDs (if applicable) No Unit type(s) Detached Attached dwe Total number of units sold Data source(s) No If Yes, date of conversion If No, describe the status of completion.	175.00 =\$ =\$ 16.00=\$ External=\$ External=\$ =\$ =\$ Indicated Value by Income ed	209,650 20,000 2,880 232,530 65,876) 166,654 10,000 231,654

Fannie Mae Form 2055 March 2005

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

The appraiser must be able to obtain adequate information about the physical characteristics (including, but not limited to, condition, room count, gross living area, etc.) of the subject property from the exterior-only inspection and reliable public and/or private sources to perform this appraisal. The appraiser should use the same type of data sources that he or she uses for comparable sales such as, but not limited to, multiple listing services, tax and assessment records, prior inspections, appraisal files, information provided by the property owner, etc.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.

2. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.

3. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.

4. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.

5. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Exterior-Only Inspection Residential Appraisal Report File # Sauer

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.

2. I performed a visual inspection of the exterior areas of the subject property from at least the street. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.

3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.

4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.

8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.

9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.

10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.

11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.

12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.

13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.

14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.

15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.

16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.

17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.

18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).

19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.

21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).

22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.

23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.

24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my ''electronic signature,'' as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.

2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.

3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.

4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.

5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER Michael Woodhead	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
Signature Michael Woodhead	Signature Name
Company Name Anozira Appraisals, Inc.	Company Name
Company Address 28681 N 68th Dr	
Peoria, AZ 85383	
Telephone Number 623-581-0222	Telephone Number
Email Address anoziraappraisals@cox.net	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report February 27, 2007	
Effective Date of Appraisal 02/23/2007	State Certification #
State Certification # 21085	
or State License #	State
or Other (describe) State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State AZ	
Expiration Date of Certification or License <u>12/31/2007</u>	SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED 1283 W Oakland St	Did not inspect subject property
<u>1283 W Oakland St</u> Chandler, AZ 85224-4343	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
	Date of Inspection
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 230,000	
LENDER/CLIENT	COMPARABLE SALES
Name	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Name Mi Casa Mortgage	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Company Address 315 S 48th St Suite 110, Tempe, AZ 85281	Date of Inspection
Email Address	

Freddie Mac Form 2055 March 2005

Subject Photo Page

Borrower/Clie	ent Sauer		
Property Add	iress 1283 W Oakland St		
City	Chandler	County Maricopa	State AZ
Lender	Mi Casa Mortgage		



Subject Front

Zip Code 85224-4343

1283 W Oakland St				
Sales Price	Refinance			
Gross Living Area	1,198			
Total Rooms	6			
Total Bedrooms	3			
Total Bathrooms	2			
Location	Average			
View	Residential			
Site	6,177+/- SF			
Quality	Average			
Age	1973			



Subject Street

Comparable Photo Page

Borrower/Client	Sauer			
Property Address	1283 W Oakland St			
City	Chandler	County Maricopa	State AZ	Zip Code 85224-4343
Lender	Mi Casa Mortgage			



Comparable 1 1278 W Toledo St

12/0 1010000	01
Prox. to Subject	0.32 miles S
Sale Price	235,900
Gross Living Area	1,260
Total Rooms	6
Total Bedrooms	3
Total Bathrooms	2
Location	Average
View	Residential
Site	8,098+/- SF
Quality	Average
Age	1969





Comparable 2

549 N Chippewa	Dr
Prox. to Subject	0.35 miles E
Sale Price	206,500
Gross Living Area	988
Total Rooms	5
Total Bedrooms	3
Total Bathrooms	2
Location	Average
View	Residential
Site	5,604+/- SF
Quality	Average
Age	1974

Comparable 3

1137 W Oakland St				
Prox. to Subject	0.27 miles E			
Sale Price	219,900			
Gross Living Area	1,056			
Total Rooms	5			
Total Bedrooms	3			
Total Bathrooms	2			
Location	Average			
View	Residential			
Site	6,425+/- SF			
Quality	Average			
Age	1974			

Borrower/Client	Sauer						
Property Address	1283 W Oakland St						
City	Chandler	County Maricopa	State	AZ	Zip Code	85224-4343	
Lender	Mi Casa Mortgage						



Supplemental Addendum

File No. Sauer

			e date.
Borrower/Clie	ent Sauer		
Property Add	ress 1283 W Oakland St		
City	Chandler	County Maricopa	State AZ Zip Code 85224-4343
Lender	Mi Casa Mortgage		

THE APPRAISER IS NOT A HOME OR ENVIRONMENTAL INSPECTOR. THE APPRAISER PROVIDES AN OPINION OF VALUE ONLY. THE APPRAISAL DOES NOT GUARANTEE THAT THE PROPERTY IS FREE OF DEFECTS OR ENVIRONMENTAL PROBLEMS. IF THERE IS ANY QUESTION REGARDING FUNCTIONING OR STRUCTURAL ISSUES, PLEASE CONTACT A HOME INSPECTOR OF PROFESSIONAL IN THE AREA IN QUESTION.

THE APPRAISER ACCEPTS NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY HAZARD INSURANCE THAT MAY BE DETERMINED FOR THIS PROPERTY, AS THIS REPORT IS FOR LENDING PURPOSES ONLY.

The analyses, opinions and conclusions contained in this report itself, have been prepared in conformitly with Federal National Mortgage Association's (FNMA) Appraisal Guidelines. The intended use of this report is for lending purposes only.

APPRAISER CERTIFICATION AND COMPETENCY COMMENTS: In accordance with th Competency Provision of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. I certify that I am fully qualified by my training, knowledge and experience to competently appraise the subject property.

ADDITIONAL SALES COMPARISON ANALYSIS COMMENTS:

The Sales Comparison approach provides the most accurate analysis of todays market of buyers and sellers. The reader should note that although closed and settled sales were used as comparables in this report, the current listing and pending sales were researched and considered in the final analysis. If the Cost Approach is determined in this report, it is to provide a confirmation of value only.

An attempt has been made to provide as much description as possible in order to allow the reader of the report to understand why a particular adjustment was necessary. Some words in the grid have been abbreviated by shortening and/or removing the vowels. Below are some typical abbreviations:

Yrs=yearsCAC=central air conditioningSF=square feetU/G=upgradedCOE=close of escrowInfer=inferiorFWA=forced warm airCPat=covered patioEnt=entryEVP=evaporative cooling

No personal property was assigned value in this appraisal.

The livable size for the subject and the comparables may be different than the size indicated by the builder and/or the county assessor. The size I have used for the subject property is from public records/MLS, unless otherwise noted. The size I have used for the comparables is either from the public record or from the agent associated with the sale that has confirmed the size to be different than the county records.

ADDITIONAL SUBJECT IMPROVEMENT COMMENTS:

The subject impovements conform to the neighborhood in terms of age, type, design and construction materials and is considered to have similar marketability to the other homes in the neighborhood and market area.

It should be noted that the subject's estimated market value is at the middle of the price range of the neighborhood. This is due to the fact that the subject property is average in the neighborhood. The subject property should not be considered an over-improvement for the neighborhood, since there are other homes in this neighborhood similar to the subject's livable area.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS ON THE FINAL RECONCILIATION:

The Income Approach was considered, however, there was insufficient data in this primarily owner occupied area to allow the computation of a Gross Rent Multiplier which is necessary in order to use the Income Approach to value.

APPRAISAL SIGNATURE-The electronic signature contained in this report is the true and original signature of the appraiser. All electronic signatures on this report have a security feature maintained by individual passwords for each signing appraiser. No person can altar the appraisal with the exception of the original signing appraiser.